RCFC & WCD

2018

Calendar On

May 13, 2019

2018 - 0089 -PROJECT NUMBER

DATE

INDIO MDP- AVENUE 48 ALTERNATIVE 1 PROJECT NAME

CONSTRUCTION COST \$	20,560,995
PLUS 22% LUMP SUM ITEMS +	4,523,419
PLUS 12% CONTINGENCY +	2,467,319
SUBTOTAL =	27,551,733
ENV. MITIGATION COSTS +	0
PLUS 25% ENG & ADMIN + $$	5,757,079
PLUS 3% MSHCP MITIGATION FEE +	690,849

RIGHT-OF-WAY +

DATE OF R/W ESTIMATE

TOTAL \$ 34,000,000

0

ADDITIONAL INFOR	RMATION											
	PROJECT LENGTH	RESPONSIBLE SECTION										
	00 + 00											
ENGR. INT.												
PROJECT TYPE:												
Flood Control Water Conservation	Flood Control Water Conservation Water Quality Enhancement Ground Water Recharge											
✓ Other CITY OF INDIO MDP												
PROJECT DESCRIF	PTION:											
AVENUE 48 MDP DRAINAGE FACILITY, INCLUDING STORM DRAIN PIPE, EXISTING BASIN MODIFICATION, PARKWAY INFILTRATION TRENCH, CONCRETE TRAP CHANNEL ALTERNATIVE (RCP OR RCB), TUNNELING UNDER B/R TRACKS												

RIVER CHANNEL)

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT 2018 PROJECT PLANNING COSTS

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: INDIO MDP- AVENUE 48 ALTERNATIVE 1

ITEM	UNIT	QUANTITY	2018 Cost	TOTAL						
	CV		b > 8	\$6.60						
	01		b ≤ 8	\$9.20						
RCB & RECT. CHAN.	CY	00450	b > 12	\$8.00	\$000.015					
EXCAVATION		22150	D ≤ 12	\$12.10	\$268,015					
COMPACTED FILL	CY		EXC < FILL	\$7.30						
STRUCTURE BACKFILL	CY	9600		\$10.40	\$99,840					
TRAP. CHANNEL CONCRETE	CY		b > 8 ¹	\$380.00						
	_		b ≤ 8	\$480.00						
	CY	4900	L > 150	\$720.00	\$3,528,000					
			L < 150	\$860.00						
RECT. CHAN. CONC. (INCLUDING STEEL)	CY		L > 150 L < 150	\$440.00 \$615.00						
CUTOFF WALL (2' TYP.)	LF			\$13.50						
			6 < b < 16	\$12.50						
SUBDRAIN	LF		b > 16	\$25.00						
FENCING (6' TYP.)	LF			\$21.30						
CATCH BASINS	IF	1164		\$560.00	\$651 840					
		18		\$6 200 00	\$111,600					
MANHOLES (PIPE)	EA	40		\$8,200.00	\$320.000					
MANHOLES (RCB)	EA	6	1 on tono non	\$2,100.00	\$12.600					
HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) TYPE A^3	SF			\$3.00	÷:=,000					
	95			\$0.40						
	36			\$0.40						
ROCK SLOPE PROTECTION	CY ²			\$80.00 \$130.00						
STORM DRAINS		SEE STO	\$13 365 500							
SLAB BRIDGES	CY	COST SHEET	CONCRETE	\$1.10						
				·						
MISCELLANEOUS COSTS		SEE MISCI	ELLANEOUS COST SHEET	-	\$2,203,600					
DAM & BASIN COSTS		SEE DAM	M & BASIN COST SHEET							
			CONSTRUCTION COST		\$20,560,995					
1. No.4 bars at 18 inches 2 1 9 tons/cv										
3. Includes 4" A.C. & 8" A.B.			\$4,523,419							
5. Use 125% of rock slope protection	to		\$2,467,319							
determine concreted-rock quantity			\$27,551,733							
7. Connector pipe, etc.	-	ENG &	\$6 447 928							
8. Cell typically only used for ADP Up	dates.	MSHCP MITI	GATION FEE: (3%) ?		\$0,117,020					
	\$0									
	\$0									
	R/W (FROM R/W SHEET)									
		R/W	(FROM DAM & BASIN SH	IEET)	\$0					
rev. 9/21/2017	NA	ME & DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL	\$22,000,664					
		05/13/19		TOTAL	\$33,999,00°F					

STORM DRAIN COSTS FOR: DESERT AREA

INSIDE DIA. (INCHES)	AC COVER? ENTER Y or N	LENGTH OF PIPE (FT)	PIPE (\$/FT)	IN PLACE (\$/FT) W/O AC	IN PLACE (\$/FT) W/AC	TOTAL
18	Y	3040 FT	\$137		\$177	\$538,080
24	Y	2300 FT	\$156		\$202	\$464,600
42	Y	1600 FT	\$239		\$306	\$489,600
48	Y	1900 FT	\$276		\$350	\$665,000
60	Y	1300 FT	\$355		\$445	\$578,500
66	Y	7920 FT	\$397		\$503	\$3,983,760
72	Y	5280 FT	\$443		\$557	\$2,940,960
78	Y	5600 FT	\$497		\$620	\$3,472,000
30	Y	1000 FT	\$181		\$233	\$233,000
		29940 FT				
				STORM DRAIN	TOTAL	###########

MISCELLANEOUS COSTS

ITEM DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	UNIT COST	TOTAL			
PARKWAY INFILTRATION TRENCH	1600	LF	\$210	\$336,000			
MAXWELL PLUS - 40' DEPTH	3	3 EA \$40,000 \$120,0 1 EA \$150,000 \$150,000					
REHAB EXISTING BASIN WEST OF MADISON	1	EA	\$150,000				
TUNNELING UNDER R/R TRACKS 2-78" SD	80	80 LF \$2,500					
UTILITY RELOCATION	32940	LF	\$40	\$1,317,600			
RCB OUTLET TO WHITE WATER CHANNEL	1	EA	\$80,000	\$80,000			
		MISCELLANEO	US TOTAL	\$2,203,600			

RCB QUANTITY SUMMARY SHEET

MDP / ADP

INDIO MDP- AVENUE 48 ALTERNATIVE 1
FACILITY

5/13/19 DATE

ENGINEER

											Wit	h Overburg	len								
		No.	Cell	Cell	Concrete	Length	Depth from F.G.	Avg. Overburden	RCB	RCB	Concrete	Trench Depth	Sloped or	Height of	Structural	Structural	R/W	R/W	Overburden	R/W	R/W
	Location	Cells	Height	Width	per Cell	(FT)	to Top of RCB	E.G. to F.G.	Height	Width	(CY)	Below F.G.	Shored	Sloped Portion	Excavation	Backfill	Width	(AC)	Excavation	Width	(AC)
			(FT)	(FT)	(CF/LF) ¹		(FT)	(FT)	(FT) ²	$(FT)^2$		(FT)	Trench	of Trench (FT)	(CY) ³	(CY) ³	(FT) ⁴		(CY)	(FT) ⁵	
1	DILLON ROAD	1	7.0	12.0	44.0	3000.0	3.0	2.0	8.50	13.33	4888.9	11.5	Shored		22149.0	9555.8	31.5	2.17	7343.1	34.5	2.38
2	2								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
3	3								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
4	•								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
5	5								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
6	6								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
7	7								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
8	3								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
g									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
10									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
11									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
12	2								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
13	3								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
14	L Contraction of the second								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
15	5								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
16									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
17	7								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
18	3								0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
19									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
20									0.00	0.00		0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0				
rev.	9/21/2017					3,000					4,889				22,149	9,556		2.2	7,343		2.4

1. Caltrans Standard Plans, 2010, D80 & D81.

2. Assumes wall thickness, t2 = 8", roof and invert slab thicknesses, t1, t3 = 9".

3. Below finish grade, per RCB pay lines (normal condition), Std. Dwg. No. M815. Refer to "Storm Drain Easement Widths," RCFC, Nov. 10, 1987 for sloped or shored trench sections.

4. "Storm Drain Easement Widths," RCFC, Nov. 10, 1987. Assumes a minimum width of 10' for construction access, the width of the sloped excavation, or the width of the shored excavation plus 8', whichever is greater.

5. Assumes cut slopes of 0.75H:1V between overburden and finish grade.